	Application No.	Applicant(s)	nt(s)	
Interview Summary	09/926,366	KONIGER ET AL.		
	Examiner	Art Unit		
	D. Lawrence Tarazano	1773		
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative	e, PTO personnel):			
(1) <u>D. Lawrence Tarazano</u> .	(3)			
(2) <u>Stephan Koschmieder</u> .	(4)			
Date of Interview: 26 February 2004.				
Type: a)☐ Telephonic b)☐ Video Conference c)⊠ Personal [copy given to: 1)☐ applica	ce ant 2)⊠ applicant's representa	tive]		
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) \( \bigcup \) If Yes, brief description: \( \bigcup_{	Yes e)⊠ No.			
Claim(s) discussed: rejected claims of record.				
Identification of prior art discussed: Art of record.				
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was read	hed. g)⊠ was not reached. h)[	□ N/A.		
Substance of Interview including description of the greached, or any other comments: <u>The examiner and maintained the rejections on some of the claims.</u> (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, whe allowable is available, a summary thereof must be a	e amendments which the examiner ere no copy of the amendments th	scussed why the exar agreed would render	the claims	
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OF INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a repl GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATFORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATE Summary of Record of Interview requirements on re	FFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE To the last Office action has alrest or THE MAILING DATE OF TEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF	ady been filed, APPL THIS INTERVIEW SU	JMMARY	
			1	
	·.	•		
		9	10	

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required